

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,368	07/14/2005	Gerhard Youssefi	P03149	4779
7590 07/21/2009 Craig E. Larson Bausch & Lomb Incorporated One Bausch & Lomb Place Rochester, NY 14604			EXAMINER	
			FARAH, AHMED M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3769	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/519,368 YOUSSEFI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Ahmed M. Farah 3769 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 April 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 17-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 44-52 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-22.27-30.33-36 and 41-43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 23-26,31,32 and 37-40 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/519,368 Page 2

Art Unit: 3769

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

 Applicant's election without traverse of invention of Group I (claims 17-43) in the reply filed on April 17, 2009 is acknowledged.

Claims 44-52 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on April 17, 2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 28, 29, 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The applicant's written description fails to teach the volume of tissue required for nominal ablation in response to "the controlled biodynamic response" or the corresponding tissue ablation for the refractive correction "in the absence of the controlled biodynamic response" as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,368 Page 3

Art Unit: 3769

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another flied in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another flied in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application flied under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application flied in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 17-22, 27-30, 33-36, 42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peyman US Patent No. 6,280,470.

With respect to claims 17-22 and 27, Peyman discloses apparatus and method for correcting refractive vision disorders such hyperopia and myopia, the method comprising the step of ablating corneal ring (providing a controlled biodynamic response in corneal tissue of an eye) outside an identified optical zone using laser beams for correcting myopia (see Figs. 54 and 72; and col. 19, line 48 through col. 20, line 7, and col. 24, lines 23-29).

With respect to Claims 28, 29, 42, and 43, the only method step recited in the claims is the step of ablating a volume of the corneal tissue. As stated in paragraph 2 above, the applicant's written description fails to teach the volume of the ablated corneal tissue 'in response to the inflicted trauma' in comparison to the volume of ablated corneal tissue 'in the absence of the inflicted trauma' as claimed. Hence, since Peyman

Art Unit: 3769

ablates a volume of comeal tissue, his method anticipates the instant claims. With respect to claim 33-35, see Figs. 81-83 of Peyman.

 Claims 17-22, 27-30, 33-36, and 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ruiz US Patent No. 6.843.787.

Ruiz discloses apparatus and method of use for the treatment of refractive vision disorders of a patient's eye such as hyperopia, myopia and astigmatism (see col. 6, lines 4-8 and lines 56-59), the method comprising the step of ablating corneal ring (providing a controlled biodynamic response in corneal tissue) outside an identified optical zone using laser beams (see Figs. 3A-5C).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 23-26 and 37-40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ahmed M. Farah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tue, Thur and Fri between 9:30 AM 7:30 PM Art Unit: 3769

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johnson Henry can be reached on (571) 272-4768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ahmed M Farah/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3769

July 19, 2009.